

objections from any party. A failure to file timely objections not only waives the right to de novo review, but dispenses with the need for the district court to conduct any review. See Bosley v. 21 WFMJ Television, Inc., 245 F. App'x 445, 450 (6th Cir. 2007). Any further review by this Court would be a duplicative and inefficient use of the Court's limited resources. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); Howard v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 932 F.2d 505 (6th Cir. 1991); United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).

Accordingly, the Magistrate Judge's R&R is accepted and adopted. The Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings is granted and Counts One, Two, and Three of the Complaint are dismissed with prejudice as to Defendants School Board and Mr. Laurenzi. Defendants School Board and Mr. Laurenzi are dismissed from this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/Lesley Wells
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Date: 30 September 2011